

Comparison of finite metric spaces via persistence matching diagrams

Álvaro Torras-Casas

Inserm, INRAE, Centre for Research in Epidemiology and Statistics (CRESS), Université Paris Cité and Université Sorbonne Paris Nord

> Thursday 6th March 2025 CUNEF Universidad, Madrid. joint work with CIMAgroup (Prof. Rocío González-Díaz), Universidad de Sevilla









- 1. Motivation: Data quality and fleet behavior
- 2. Merge trees and zero-dimensional persistent homology
- 3. The induced matching diagram (for subsets of metric spaces)
- 4. The induced block function and the matching diagram
- **5. Stability of** D(f)
- 6. Example I: exploring topological data quality
- 7. Example II: Navground Analysis

(Topological) Data quality

- Aim: replace datasets by smaller subsets that capture the "same information"
- Question: can topology help to answer this question?
- Example: two classes and a small feed forward neural network.



• Difficult question: we focused on dimension 0.

Fleet behavior: Navground

- Characterise autonomous wheelchair simulations via Navground (J. Guzzi, SUPSI)
- Ultimate aim: detect order vs chaos and predict likelihood of collisions or deadlocks.
- Motivation: spontaneous formation of groups in macroscopic behavior.



Idea: relate zero-dimensional persistent homology barcodes

- Consider a pair of metric spaces $(\mathbb{Y}, d^{\mathbb{Y}})$ and $(\mathbb{X}, d^{\mathbb{X}})$
- Connected components can be understood by $\mathrm{PH}_0(\mathbb{Y})$ and $\mathrm{PH}_0(\mathbb{X})$ as well as their respective $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Y})$ and $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{X})$ barcodes.
- Question: can we relate $B(\mathbb{Y})$ and $B(\mathbb{X})$?



• Is this well defined? and stable? so what?

1. Motivation: Data quality and fleet behavior

- 2. Merge trees and zero-dimensional persistent homology
- 3. The induced matching diagram (for subsets of metric spaces)
- 4. The induced block function and the matching diagram
- **5. Stability of** D(f)
- 6. Example I: exploring topological data quality
- 7. Example II: Navground Analysis

Vietoris-Rips filtration (1-skeleton)

- Consider a finite metric space $(\mathbb{X}, d^{\mathbb{X}})$.
- Let $VR^1(X)$ be the one-skeleton of the Vietoris-Rips filtration, i.e. $VR^1(X)$ is a family of graphs

$$\operatorname{VR}^{1}(\mathbb{X}) = \{\operatorname{VR}^{1}_{r}(\mathbb{X})\}_{r \in [0,\infty)}$$

where there are inclusions $\operatorname{VR}^1_r(\mathbb{X}) \subseteq \operatorname{VR}^1_s(\mathbb{X})$ for all $r \leq s$.

- Given $r \geq 0$, the graph $\operatorname{VR}^1_r(\mathbb{X})$ has
 - vertices points from $\mathbb X$
 - edges [x, y] for $x, y \in \mathbb{X}$ such that $d^{\mathbb{X}}(x, y) \leq r$.



Evolution of connected components

- Let $(\mathbb{X}, d^{\mathbb{X}})$ be a finite metric space.
- Suppose that $\mathbb{X} = \{x_0, \cdots, x_n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.
- Given r ≥ 0, we consider an equivalence relation ~r on X given by x ~r y if and only if both x and y are path connected in VR¹_r(X).
- We can represent equivalence classes of \sim_r by using the minimum index on connected components:



• We define $\pi_0(\operatorname{VR}^1_r(\mathbb{X})) = \mathbb{X}/\sim_r$ and denote by $[x_i]_r$ the coset of $x_i \in \mathbb{X}$.

0-dimensional persistent homology

- Let $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathrm{VR}^1_r(\mathbb{X})) = \mathbb{Z}_2[\pi_0(\mathrm{VR}^1_r(\mathbb{X}))] = \langle [x_i]_r \mid x_i \in \mathbb{X} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_2}.$
- We define the 0-dimensional *persistent homology* $PH_0(X)$ to be
 - the collection of \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector spaces $\operatorname{PH}_0(X)_r := \operatorname{H}_0(\operatorname{VR}_r(X))$ for all $r \in [0, \infty)$ together with
 - the structure maps $\rho_{rs} \colon \operatorname{PH}_0(X)_r \to \operatorname{PH}_0(X)_s$ for all $r \leq s$ that are induced by the inclusions $\operatorname{VR}_r(X) \subseteq \operatorname{VR}_s(X)$.

Example

Let $\operatorname{PH}_{0.8}(\mathbb{X}) = \langle [x_0], [x_3], [x_5] \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $\operatorname{PH}_{1.1}(\mathbb{X}) = \langle [x_0], [x_3] \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, together with the structure map $\rho_{0.8,1.1}$ is defined from assignments

$$[x_0] \mapsto [x_0] \quad [x_3] \mapsto [x_3] \quad [x_5] \mapsto [x_3].$$

Notice: component $[x_5]$ has merged to $[x_3]$ at 1.1

Barcode of 0-dimensional persistent homology

- In our case, all classes from $PH_0(\mathbb{X})$ are **born** at 0 where $\pi_0(VR_0^1(\mathbb{X})) = \{[x_0], \dots, [x_n]\}.$
- We say that $[x_j] \in \pi_0(\operatorname{VR}^1_0(\mathbb{X}))$ dies at b > 0 if
 - 1) $\rho_{0r}([x_i]) = [x_i]$ for all $0 \le r < b$, and
 - 2) $\rho_{0b}([x_i]) = [x_j]$ for some j < i.
- Persistence barcode: is a multiset $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{X}) = (S^{\mathbb{X}}, \mu^{\mathbb{X}})$ where $S^{\mathbb{X}} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\mu^{\mathbb{X}} \colon S^{\mathbb{X}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ a multiplicity function such that,
- $\mu^{\mathbb{X}}(b) = \#\{[x_i] \in \pi_0(\operatorname{VR}^1_0(\mathbb{X})) \mid [x_i] \text{ dies at } b\}$
- Given a multiset (S, μ) , its representation is a set

$$\operatorname{Rep}(S,\mu) = \{(i,x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times S \mid x \in S \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq \mu(x)\}$$

Example of 0-dimensional persistent homology

Example

- $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{X}) = (S^{\mathbb{X}}, \mu^{\mathbb{X}})$ with $S^{\mathbb{X}} = \{0.42, 0.5, 0.98, 1.3\}$ and
- $\mu^{\mathbb{X}} \colon S^{\mathbb{X}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ equal to 1 everywhere,
- except $\mu^{X}(0.5) = 2$.
- $\operatorname{Rep} \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{X}) = \{(1, 0.42), (1, 0.5), (2, 0.5), (1, 0.98), (1, 1.3)\} \subset \mathbb{Z} \times S^{\mathbb{X}}$



Triplet Merge Trees

- Given x_i, x_j ∈ X with i < j and some r ≥ 0, we write a triplet (x_j, r, x_i) to indicate that component x_j is alive up to value r, where it merges with x_i. That is, [x_j] ∈ PH₀(X)₀ = Z₂[X] is such that ρ_{0s}[x_j] = [x_j] for all s < r and ρ_{0r}[x_j] = [x_i].
- We denote by TMT(X) the set of triples from VR(X).

Example

Consider \mathbb{X} and a relabelling $\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}$:

- $\operatorname{TMT}(\mathbb{X}) = \{ (x_4, 0.42, x_3), (x_2, 0.5, x_0), (x_1, 0.5, x_0), (x_5, 0.98, x_3), (x_3, 1.3, x_0) \}$
- $\operatorname{TMT}(\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}) = \{(x_3, 0.42, x_0), (x_2, 0.5, x_1), (x_5, 0.5, x_1), (x_4, 0.98, x_0), (x_1, 1.3, x_0)\}$



Barcode decomposition of $PH_0(X)$

- We define the interval module κ_b , for b>0 or $b=\infty$, as
 - $\kappa_{br} = \mathbb{Z}_2$ for all $0 \leq r < b$ and is zero otherwise, and
 - the structure maps are the identities $\mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ whenever possible.
- Since X is finite, $PH_0(X)_r$ is **tame**, in particular, it decomposes as

$$egin{aligned} \mathrm{PH}_{0}(\mathbb{X}) &\simeq \left(igoplus_{(i,b)\in \mathrm{Rep} \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{X})} \kappa_{b}
ight) \oplus \kappa_{\infty} \ &\simeq \left(igoplus_{(x_{j},b_{j},x_{i})\in \mathrm{TMT}(\mathbb{X})} \kappa_{b_{j}}
ight) \oplus \kappa_{\infty} \end{aligned}$$

- The intervals on the barcode B(X) do not depend on the particular labelling.
- Computation: of B(X) and TMT(X) efficiently computed via minimum spanning tree MST(X) (e.g. using union-find data from Kruskal's method)

Stability of $PH_0(X)$

• The barcode is stable $d_B(\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{X}), \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Y})) \leq d_{\mathrm{GH}}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$, where

 $d_B(\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{X}),\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Y})) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 \mid \exists \ \varepsilon \text{-matching between } \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{X}) \text{ and } \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Y})\}$

A ε-matching μ: B(X) → B(Y) consists of a bijection μ: A → B where A ⊂ RepB(X) and B ⊂ RepB(Y), such that:

$$\begin{array}{l} - \ \mu(i,b) = (j,b') \text{ implies } |b-b'| < \varepsilon \\ - \ (i,a) \in \operatorname{Rep} \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{X}) \setminus \mu^{-1}(B) \text{ implies } |a| < \varepsilon \\ - \ (j,b) \in \operatorname{Rep} \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Y}) \setminus \mu(A) \text{ implies } |b| < \varepsilon. \end{array}$$



- 1. Motivation: Data quality and fleet behavior
- 2. Merge trees and zero-dimensional persistent homology
- 3. The induced matching diagram (for subsets of metric spaces)
- 4. The induced block function and the matching diagram
- **5. Stability of** D(f)
- 6. Example I: exploring topological data quality
- 7. Example II: Navground Analysis

Subsets of metric spaces

- Consider a pseudo-metric space $(\mathbb{X}, d^{\mathbb{X}})$ together with a subset $\mathbb{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{X}$.
- There is an inclusion $\operatorname{VR}^1(\mathbb{Y}) \subseteq \operatorname{VR}^1(\mathbb{X})$.

Example

- Let $\mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ where \mathbb{Y} is indicated by the red points.
- The filtration of the pair $\mathrm{VR}(\mathbb{Y})\subseteq\mathrm{VR}(\mathbb{X})$ is depicted below.



Persistence morphism induced by $\mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{X}$

- $VR(\mathbb{Y}) \subset VR(\mathbb{X})$ induces a **persistence morphism** $f : PH_0(\mathbb{Y}) \to PH_0(\mathbb{X})$, that is
 - a collection of \mathbb{Z}_2 -linear maps $f_r \colon \mathrm{PH}_0(\mathbb{Y})_r \to \mathrm{PH}_0(\mathbb{X})_r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and such that
 - given r < s, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{PH}_{0}(\mathbb{Y})_{r} & \stackrel{f_{r}}{\longrightarrow} & \operatorname{PH}_{0}(\mathbb{X})_{r} \\ & & & & \downarrow^{\rho_{rs}} \\ \operatorname{PH}_{0}(\mathbb{Y})_{s} & \stackrel{f_{s}}{\longrightarrow} & \operatorname{PH}_{0}(\mathbb{X})_{s} \end{array}$$

- We can define $\operatorname{im}(f)$, $\operatorname{ker}(f)$ and $\operatorname{coker}(f)$, e.g. $\operatorname{im}(f)_r := \operatorname{im}(f_r)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Intuitively, $f : PH_0(\mathbb{Y}) \to PH_0(\mathbb{X})$ relates the (single-linkage) clusters from $VR^1(\mathbb{Y})$ and $VR^1(\mathbb{X})$.

Subset labels and connected components

•
$$\mathbb{Y} = \{x_0, \cdots, x_\ell\}$$
 and $\mathbb{X} = \{x_0, \cdots, x_n\}$ for $\ell \leq n$.

Example

Depiction of $\pi_0(\operatorname{VR}_r(X))$ and $\pi_0(\operatorname{VR}_r(Z))$ for varying $r \ge 0$.



Decomposition of morphisms in dimension 0

• Using the barcode decompositions, we can write f as

$$f: \left(\bigoplus_{(i,b_i)\in \operatorname{Rep} \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Y})} \kappa_{b_i}\right) \oplus \kappa_{\infty} \longrightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{(i,b_i)\in \operatorname{Rep} \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{X})} \kappa_{b_i}\right) \oplus \kappa_{\infty}.$$

- This does not give information about the relation between $B(\mathbb{Y})$ and $B(\mathbb{X})$.
- On the other hand, using a Theorem by Jacquard, Nanda and Tillmann [4], there exists $r_a^b \ge 0$ and $d_a^+, d_b^- \ge 0$ such that

$$f \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{b>0} \bigoplus_{a \ge b} \bigoplus_{i \in [[r_a^b]]} (\kappa_a \to \kappa_b) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{b>0} \bigoplus_{j \in [[d_b^-]]} (0 \to \kappa_b) \right)$$
$$\oplus \left(\bigoplus_{a>0} \bigoplus_{j \in [[d_a^+]]} (\kappa_a \to 0) \right) \oplus (\kappa_\infty \to \kappa_\infty).$$

- Where $[[n]] = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and
- $\kappa_a \rightarrow \kappa_b$ for $a \ge b$ are the natural persistence morphisms.

The matching diagram D(f) (for $\mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{X}$)

• Using $\mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{X}$, it follows that $d_a^+ = 0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and so

$$F \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{b>0} \bigoplus_{a \ge b} \bigoplus_{i \in [[r_a^b]]} (\kappa_a \to \kappa_b) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{b>0} \bigoplus_{j \in [[d_b^-]]} (0 \to \kappa_b) \right) \oplus (\kappa_\infty \to \kappa_\infty).$$

- We define the matching diagram D(f) as a multiset (S^D, μ^D) consisting of

 a set S^D = {(a, b) | r_b^a ≠ 0} ∪ {(∞, b) | d_b⁻ ≠ 0} ⊂ ℝ²,
 - a multiplicity function $\mu^D \colon S^D \to \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ given by

$$\mu^D(a,b) = r_b^a$$
, and $\mu^D(\infty,b) = d_b^-$.

- $\bullet\,$ There is an "injection" of barcodes $B(\mathbb{Y}) \hookrightarrow B(\mathbb{X})$
 - Well-defined: $a \ge 0$ fixed: $\sum_{b \le a} \mu^D(a, b) = \mu^{\mathbb{Y}}(a)$
 - Injective: $b \ge 0$ fixed: $\sum_{b \le a} \mu^{\overline{D}}(a, b) \le \mu^{\mathbb{X}}(b)$

Example of matching diagram

Example

• We decompose $f \colon \mathrm{PH}_0(\mathbb{Y}) \to \mathrm{PH}_0(\mathbb{X})$ as

 $f\simeq (\kappa_{2.24} \rightarrow \kappa_{1.11}) \oplus (\kappa_{1.19} \rightarrow \kappa_{1.19}) \oplus (0 \rightarrow \kappa_{1.03}) \oplus (0 \rightarrow \kappa_{0.5}) \oplus (0 \rightarrow \kappa_{0.5})$

- $S^D = \{(2.24, 1.11), (1.19, 1.19), (0, 1.03), (0, 0.5)\}$
- Except $\mu^D(0, 0.5) = 2$, all multiplicities are equal to 1.



Relation of D(f) with im(f), ker(f), coker(f), $PH_0(\mathbb{Y})$ and $PH_0(\mathbb{X})$

• We can rewrite *f* as follows:

$$f \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{(a,b)\in S^{D}\\a\neq\infty}}\bigoplus_{i\in[[\mu^{D}(a,b)]]}(\kappa_{a}\rightarrow\kappa_{b})\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{(\infty,b)\in S^{D}\\(\infty,b)\in S^{D}}}\bigoplus_{i\in[[\mu^{D}(\infty,b)]]}(0\rightarrow\kappa_{b})\right) \oplus (\kappa_{\infty}\rightarrow\kappa_{\infty}).$$

$$\operatorname{im}(f) \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{(a,b)\in S^{D}\\a\neq\infty}}\bigoplus_{i\in[[\mu^{D}(a,b)]]}\kappa_{b}\right) \oplus \kappa_{\infty}$$

$$\operatorname{ker}(f) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{(a,b)\in S^{D}\\a\neq\infty}}\bigoplus_{i\in[[\mu^{D}(a,b)]]}\kappa_{[b,a]}$$

$$\operatorname{coker}(f) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{(\infty,b)\in S^{D}\\a\neq\infty}}\bigoplus_{i\in[[\mu^{D}(\infty,b)]]}\kappa_{b}$$

$$\operatorname{PH}_{0}(\mathbb{Y}) \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{(a,b)\in S^{D}\\a\neq\infty}}\bigoplus_{i\in[[\mu^{D}(a,b)]]}\kappa_{a}\right) \oplus \kappa_{\infty}$$

$$\operatorname{PH}_{0}(\mathbb{X}) \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{(a,b)\in S^{D}\\a\neq\infty}}\bigoplus_{i\in[[\mu^{D}((a,b))]]}\kappa_{b}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{(\infty,b)\in S^{D}\\a\neq\infty}}\bigoplus_{i\in[[\mu^{D}(\infty,b)]]}\kappa_{b}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{(\infty,b)\in S^{D}\\a\neq\infty}}\bigoplus_{i\in[[\mu^{D}(\infty,b)]}\kappa_{b}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{(\infty,b)\in S^{D}\\a\in\infty}}\bigoplus_{i\in[[\mu^{D}(\infty,b)]}\kappa_{b}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{(\infty,b)\in S^{D}\\a\neq\infty}}\bigoplus_{i\in[[\mu^{D}(\infty,b)]}\kappa_{b}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{(\infty,b)\in S^{D}\\a\neq\infty}}\bigoplus_{i\in[[\mu^{D}(\infty,b)]}\kappa_{b}$$

- 1. Motivation: Data quality and fleet behavior
- 2. Merge trees and zero-dimensional persistent homology
- 3. The induced matching diagram (for subsets of metric spaces)
- 4. The induced block function and the matching diagram
- **5. Stability of** D(f)
- 6. Example I: exploring topological data quality
- 7. Example II: Navground Analysis

The induced block function \mathcal{M}_f^0

- Let $(\mathbb{Y}, d^{\mathbb{Y}})$ and $(\mathbb{X}, d^{\mathbb{X}})$ be a pair of finite metric spaces.
- Let $f_0 \colon \mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{X}$ be a set map (ignoring metrics).
- We define the induced block function $\mathcal{M}_f^0 \colon \mathbb{R}^2 o \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ as, given $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{M}_{f}^{0}(a,b) = \dim\left(\frac{f(\ker_{a}^{+}(V)) \cap \ker_{b}^{+}(U)}{f(\ker_{a}^{-}(V)) \cap \ker_{b}^{+}(U) + f(\ker_{a}^{+}(V)) \cap \ker_{b}^{-}(U)}\right)$$

- Where: $f(\ker_a^{\pm}(\mathbb{Y}))$ and $\ker_b^{\pm}(\mathbb{X})$ are subspaces from $\operatorname{PH}_0(\mathbb{X}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2[\mathbb{X}]$ given by
 - $f(\ker^+_a(\mathbb{Y})) = \langle [f_0(x_j)] + [f_0(x_i)] | (x_j, r, x_i) \in \mathrm{TMT}(\mathbb{Y}) \text{ such that } r \leq a \rangle$
 - $f(\ker_a^-(\mathbb{Y})) = \langle [f_0(x_j)] + [f_0(x_i)] | (x_j, r, x_i) \in \mathrm{TMT}(\mathbb{Y}) \text{ such that } r < a \rangle$
 - $-\ker^+_b(\mathbb{X}) = \langle [x_j] + [x_i] | (x_j, r, x_i) \in \mathrm{TMT}(\mathbb{X}) \text{ such that } r \leq a
 angle$
 - $\ker^-_a(\mathbb{X}) = \langle [x_j] + [x_i] | (x_j, r, x_i) \in \mathrm{TMT}(\mathbb{X}) \text{ such that } r < a \rangle$
- NB: One can check f(ker⁺_b(𝔅)) = f(ker(ρ^𝔅_{0b})), etc, which means that M⁰_f does not depend on the particular indexing.

\mathcal{M}_f^0 and D(f)

Define the matching diagram as a multiset $D(f) = (S^D, \mu^D)$ where

- $S^D \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}$ with non-zero multiplicity, where:
- $\mu^D((a,b)) = \mathcal{M}^0_f(a,b)$ for all $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and
- $\mu^D((\infty, b)) = \mu^{\mathbb{X}}(b) \sum_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}^0_f(a, b)$ for all $b \ge 0$.

Remarks:

- *M*⁰_f and *D*(*f*) are defined for any pair of metric spaces (𝔄, *d*^𝔄) and (𝔄, *d*^𝔄) together with a set map *f*₀ : 𝔄 → 𝔄.
- In particular, there might be no persistence morphism.
- If f₀: 𝔅 → 𝔅 is one-Lipschitz, then it induces a persistence morphism
 f: PH₀(𝔅) → PH₀(𝔅) and D(f) coincides with the definition using the direct sum decomposition of f.

Example computation of \mathcal{M}_f^0 (Part 0)

Example

Consider $\mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{X}$ as in the previous section.

One can compute $\text{TMT}(\mathbb{Y}) = \{(x_2, 1.19, x_0), (x_1, 2.24, x_0)\}$ and $\text{TMT}(\mathbb{X}) = \{(x_5, 0.5, x_3), (x_4, 0.5, x_3), (x_3, 1.03, x_2), (x_2, 1.11, x_1), (x_1, 1.19, x_0)\}.$



Example computation of \mathcal{M}_f^0 (Part I)

Example

Recall $\text{TMT}(\mathbb{Y}) = \{(x_2, 1.19, x_0), (x_1, 2.24, x_0)\}$ and $\text{TMT}(\mathbb{X}) = \{(x_5, 0.5, x_3), (x_4, 0.5, x_3), (x_3, 1.03, x_2), (x_2, 1.11, x_1), (x_1, 1.19, x_0)\}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{split} f(\ker^{-}(\mathbb{Y})_{1.19}) =& 0\\ f(\ker^{+}(\mathbb{Y})_{1.19}) =& \langle [x_2] + [x_0] \rangle\\ & \ker^{-}(\mathbb{X})_{1.19} =& \langle [x_5] + [x_3], [x_4] + [x_3], [x_3] + [x_2], [x_2] + [x_1] \rangle\\ & \ker^{+}(\mathbb{X})_{1.19} =& \langle [x_5] + [x_3], [x_4] + [x_3], [x_3] + [x_2], [x_2] + [x_1], [x_1] + [x_0] \rangle \end{split}$$

So that

$$\mathcal{M}_{f}^{0}(1.19, 1.19) = \dim\left(\frac{\langle [x_{2}] + [x_{0}] \rangle}{0+0}\right) = \dim(\langle [x_{2}] + [x_{0}] \rangle) = 1.$$

Example computation of \mathcal{M}_f^0 (Part II)

Example

Recall $\text{TMT}(\mathbb{Y}) = \{(x_2, 1.19, x_0), (x_1, 2.24, x_0)\}$ and $\text{TMT}(\mathbb{X}) = \{(x_5, 0.5, x_3), (x_4, 0.5, x_3), (x_3, 1.03, x_2), (x_2, 1.11, x_1), (x_1, 1.19, x_0)\}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{split} f(\ker^{-}(\mathbb{Y})_{2.24}) &= \langle [x_2] + [x_0] \rangle \\ f(\ker^{+}(\mathbb{Y})_{2.24}) &= \langle [x_2] + [x_0], [x_1] + [x_0] \rangle \\ \ker^{-}(\mathbb{X})_{1.19} &= \langle [x_5] + [x_3], [x_4] + [x_3], [x_3] + [x_2], [x_2] + [x_1] \rangle \\ \ker^{+}(\mathbb{X})_{1.19} &= \langle [x_5] + [x_3], [x_4] + [x_3], [x_3] + [x_2], [x_2] + [x_1], [x_1] + [x_0] \rangle \end{split}$$

So that

$$\mathcal{M}_{f}^{0}(1.19, 2.24) = \dim \left(\frac{\langle [x_{2}] + [x_{0}], [x_{1}] + [x_{0}] \rangle}{\langle [x_{2}] + [x_{0}] \rangle + \langle [x_{2}] + [x_{1}] \rangle} \right) = 0.$$

Example computation of \mathcal{M}_f^0 (Part III)

Example

All in all, one can check that $\mathcal{M}_{f}^{0}(1.19, 1.19) = \mathcal{M}_{f}^{0}(2.24, 1.11) = 1$ while $\mathcal{M}_{f}^{0}(a, b) = 0$ for any other pair in \mathbb{R}^{2} . Thus, we recover the matching diagram D(f) from the previous section





Computation of \mathcal{M}_f^0

- Github: https://github.com/Cimagroup/tdqual
- Small python script: 282 lines, most for generating plots.
- Algorithm:
 - Compute the minimum spanning trees $MST(\mathbb{Y})$ and $MST(\mathbb{X})$ of $VR(\mathbb{Y})$ and $VR(\mathbb{X})$ respectively.
 - Compute $\mathrm{TMT}(\mathbb{Y})$ and $\mathrm{TMT}(\mathbb{X})$ from $\mathrm{MST}(\mathbb{Y})$ and $\mathrm{MST}(\mathbb{X})$.
 - Compute the matrix F associated to $PH_0(\mathbb{Y}) \to PH_0(\mathbb{X})$ using $TMT(\mathbb{Y})$ and $TMT(\mathbb{X})$.
 - Perform a Gaussian reduction of F and obtain R

Using R we obtain \mathcal{M}_f^0

 $\mathcal{M}_{f}^{0}(a,b) = \# \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pivots from } R \text{ in columns labelled by } (i,a) \in \operatorname{Rep} \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Y}) \\ \text{and rows labelled by } (j,b) \in \operatorname{Rep} \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{X}) \\ \text{for all } t \in [[\mu^{\mathbb{Y}}(a)]] \text{ and } r \in [[\mu^{\mathbb{X}}(b)]] \end{array} \right\} .$

Runtimes

| Size | Prop | Time (s) Dim 100 | Time (s) Dim 200 | Time (s) Dim 500 | Time (s) Dim 1000 |
|-------|------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 1000 | 0.1 | 0.2137 | 0.2251 | 0.2906 | 0.4167 |
| | 0.2 | 0.2205 | 0.2337 | 0.3025 | 0.4266 |
| | 0.5 | 0.2614 | 0.2770 | 0.3672 | 0.5181 |
| | 0.8 | 0.3420 | 0.3647 | 0.4785 | 0.6791 |
| 5000 | 0.1 | 6.8683 | 7.4391 | 9.3776 | 13.2139 |
| | 0.2 | 7.1100 | 7.6029 | 9.6264 | 13.5654 |
| | 0.5 | 8.3384 | 9.0599 | 11.3875 | 15.9382 |
| | 0.8 | 11.0920 | 11.9642 | 15.1606 | 21.0903 |
| 10000 | 0.1 | 30.9312 | 33.8928 | 43.3496 | 59.5236 |
| | 0.2 | 31.7921 | 34.5644 | 44.4252 | 61.1580 |
| | 0.5 | 37.6867 | 41.3084 | 52.5283 | 72.4249 |
| | 0.8 | 50.1831 | 54.3484 | 69.9975 | 96.1254 |

- 1. Motivation: Data quality and fleet behavior
- 2. Merge trees and zero-dimensional persistent homology
- 3. The induced matching diagram (for subsets of metric spaces)
- 4. The induced block function and the matching diagram
- **5. Stability of** D(f)
- 6. Example I: exploring topological data quality
- 7. Example II: Navground Analysis

Stability of D(f): (Recap) Gromov-Hausdorff distance

- Let (M, d^M) be a finite metric space.
- Given a subset $A \subseteq M$ and a point $x \in M$ we define the distance

$$d^M(x, M) = \min\{d^M(x, m) \text{ for } m \in M\}$$

• Given two subsets $A, B \subseteq M$, we define the **Hausdorff distance**

$$d^M_H(A,B) = \max\{\max\{d^M(a,B)|a\in A\},\max\{d^M(b,A)|b\in B\}\}$$

- Given two metric spaces (Z, d^Z) and $(Z', d^{Z'})$, we consider isometric embeddings $\gamma_Z : Z \hookrightarrow M$ and $\gamma_{Z'} : Z' \hookrightarrow M$ for some (M, d^M)
- We define the Gromov-Hausdorff distance

$$d_{GH}(Z,Z') = \inf_{M,\gamma_Z,\gamma_{Z'}} \left\{ d_H^M(\gamma_Z(Z),\gamma_{Z'}(Z')) \right\}.$$

Stability of D(f)

Given (X, Z) and (X', Z') such that $X \subseteq Z$ and $X' \subseteq Z'$, we define the Gromov-Hausdorff distance $d_{GH}((X, Z), (X', Z'))$ as

$$\inf_{M,\gamma_{Z},\gamma_{Z'}} \left\{ \max \left\{ d_{H}^{M}(\gamma_{Z}(X),\gamma_{Z'}(X')), d_{H}^{M}(\gamma_{Z}(Z),\gamma_{Z'}(Z')) \right\} \right\}.$$

Theorem

Suppose $d_{GH}((X, Z), (X', Z')) < \varepsilon$. There exists a partial matching $\sigma^{D(f)} : \operatorname{RepD}(f) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{RepD}(f')$ such that

- for (i, (a, b)) ∈ coim(σ^{D(f)}), writing σ^{D(f)}(i, (a, b)) = (j, (a', b')), we have that (either a = a' = ∞ or |a - a'| < 2ε) and |b - b'| < 2ε.
- for $(i, (a, b)) \in RepD(f) \setminus coim(\sigma^{D(f)})$ then (either $a = \infty$ or $a < 2\varepsilon$) and $b < 2\varepsilon$.
- for $(j, (a', b')) \in RepD(f') \setminus im(\sigma^{D(f)})$ then (either $a' = \infty$ or $a' < 2\varepsilon$) and $b' < 2\varepsilon$.

Stability example

Example

Suppose that $\varepsilon \sim$ 0.27. We consider two point clouds and check stability.

| Ь | a' | Ь′ | a - a' | b - b' |
|------|--|--|--|--|
| 1.19 | 1.03 | 0.89 | 0.16 | 0.30 |
| 1.11 | 2.21 | 1.06 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| _ | 0.27 | 0.27 | _ | _ |
| 1.03 | $_{inf}$ | 0.95 | nan | 0.07 |
| 0.50 | $_{inf}$ | 0.36 | nan | 0.14 |
| 0.50 | $_{inf}$ | 0.34 | nan | 0.16 |
| | $ \begin{array}{r} b \\ 1.19 \\ 1.11 \\ - \\ 1.03 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ \end{array} $ | b a' 1.19 1.03 1.11 2.21 - 0.27 1.03 inf 0.50 inf 0.50 inf | $\begin{array}{c ccc} b & a' & b' \\ \hline 1.19 & 1.03 & 0.89 \\ 1.11 & 2.21 & 1.06 \\ - & 0.27 & 0.27 \\ 1.03 & \inf & 0.95 \\ 0.50 & \inf & 0.36 \\ 0.50 & \inf & 0.34 \end{array}$ | b a' b' $ a - a' $ 1.19 1.03 0.89 0.16 1.11 2.21 1.06 0.02 - 0.27 0.27 - 1.03 inf 0.95 nan 0.50 inf 0.36 nan 0.50 inf 0.34 nan |



- 1. Motivation: Data quality and fleet behavior
- 2. Merge trees and zero-dimensional persistent homology
- 3. The induced matching diagram (for subsets of metric spaces)
- 4. The induced block function and the matching diagram
- **5. Stability of** D(f)
- 6. Example I: exploring topological data quality
- 7. Example II: Navground Analysis

Example: Housing dataset

- \mathcal{H} contains 20648 real-valued 8-dimensional samples.
- Divided into three classes.
- We took a random sample $X^{\mathcal{H}}$ of size 1000 as training set.
- Trained a MLP and obtained the following confusion matrix:



Example: Housing Dataset (Continued)

• We computed the matching diagram for the three classes separately.



- The third class has a very large interval in coker(f) compared to the other two.
- Also, the larger interval in ker(f) is in the third class as well.

- 1. Motivation: Data quality and fleet behavior
- 2. Merge trees and zero-dimensional persistent homology
- 3. The induced matching diagram (for subsets of metric spaces)
- 4. The induced block function and the matching diagram
- **5. Stability of** D(f)
- 6. Example I: exploring topological data quality
- 7. Example II: Navground Analysis

- We consider the output from Navground simulations.
- This consists of point clouds $\{\mathbb{X}^t\}_{t=0}^N$ where
- $\mathbb{X}^t \subset \mathbb{R}^6$, given by positions, angle, speed components and angular speed.
- Given two timesteps $T_1 < T_2$ let the Euclidean distances of \mathbb{X}^{T_1} and \mathbb{X}^{T_2} be given by matrices D^{T_1} and D^{T_2} respectively.
- Agent movements leads to an isomorphism $\mathbb{X}^{\mathcal{T}_1} \to \mathbb{X}^{\mathcal{T}_2}$
- We analyse the evolution of $\{X^t\}_{t=0}^N$ by keeping the difference $T_2 T_1$ constant and changing T_1 .
- We tried this approach in three scenarios: Corridor, Cross and CrossTorus.
- We compare four behaviors: ORCA, HL, HRVO and Social Force.

Example: Corridor using persistence images



Example: CrossTorus using absolute sum of differences in D(f)





- D(f) is versatile; can be applied in several examples.
- D(f) can be computed using minimum spanning trees and a simple Gausian reduction.
- Stability also works for set injections $\mathbb{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}$, can it be generalised?
- What about metrics that do not satisfy the triangle inequality? e.g. when using Dynamic Time Warping?
- **Ongoing work:** extend matching diagrams to higher dimensions or when points are allowed to be born later than 0.
- It would be good to see/work on more applications of D(f) in the future!
- What about other clustering techniques?

References

- Á. Torras-Casas, E. Paluzo-Hidalgo, R. González-Díaz. *Topological data quality via 0-dimensional persistence matching*, arXiv:2306.02411v2.
- Á. Torras-Casas, R. González-Díaz. *Properties and Stability of Persistence Matching Diagrams*, arXiv:2409.14954.
- D. Smirnov, D. Morozov, *Triplet Merge Trees* Topological Methods in Data Analysis and Visualization V, 2020
- E. Jacquard and V. Nanda and U. Tillmann *The space of barcode bases for persistence modules*, Journal of Applied and Computational Topology, Volume 7, 2023.
- R. González-Díaz, M. Soriano-Trigueros, Á. Torras-Casas, Partial Matchings induced by Morphisms between Persistence Morphisms, Computational Geometry, Volume 112, 2023.

Email: alvaro.torras-casas@inserm.fr

Website: https://alvaro-torras-casas.org/









